Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide instructions for the manual annotation of drug package inserts with information regarding drug-drug interactions. The annotated package inserts will be used for training and testing an NLP component that will automatically extract drug-drug interactions from drug package inserts.

The annotation task involves marking up specific entities in the text of drug package inserts, such as mentions of drug product names and active ingredients, as well as marking up interactions between these entities. To make annotation faster, some of the entities have already been marked up in the text using a combination of automatic string matching and manual inspection.

The tool used for annotating documents is Knowtator. This tool is a plugin for Protégé. For instructions on how to use the annotation tool, please see the document “Knowtator Instructions”.

Drug Interaction Statements

For this task, there are 90 excerpts from specific sections of the product labels of a number of different drugs. Specifically, there are 27 excerpts from clinical pharmacology sections, 27 excerpts from drug interaction sections, and 38 paragraphs randomly selected from “older” product labels that do not include a specific drug interaction or clinical pharmacology section. All of the text present in each excerpt qualifies for annotation.

Annotating Entities

Entities are annotated by selecting a span of text in the middle pane of the Protégé window, clicking on one of the annotation classes from the annotation scheme displayed in the left pane, and selecting the option “create ... annotation with ...”. Details of the annotation are shown in the right pane of the Protégé window. An annotation can have slots that further specify the annotation. These slots can be edited in the right pane of the Protégé window (see Figure 1).

There are three types of entity that need to be annotated as part of this annotation task: active ingredients, metabolites, and drug products. Each of these entities is described in more detail below.

Active ingredient An active ingredient is defined as a biologically active substance that is either synthetically manufactured or an endogenous substance extracted and processed to be reintroduced into an organism for the prevention, diagnosis, and/or treatment of disease states. There are both chemical and generic names for active ingredients. Most statements represent an active ingredient by its generic name, but they do occasionally refer to them by more
precise chemical names. In either case, please use the same “Active ingredient” entity.

Figure: A screenshot showing a Metabolite annotation.

Annotate active ingredients by selecting the corresponding span in the text and creating an “Active ingredient” annotation in Knowtator. Active ingredient annotations do not have any slots.

Restrict the span of the annotation to just the active ingredient – do not include phrases such as “dose of” or “administration of” in the annotation. Mentions of active ingredients that act as modifiers in larger phrases, e.g., “cyclosporine therapy”, “levonorgestrel AUC”, should also be annotated – again, only annotate the text spans corresponding to just the active ingredients.
Figure: Selecting an active ingredient that will be used to fill the "active ingredient" slot for the "o-desmethylvenlafaxine" metabolite annotation.

Example annotations (annotated active ingredients in bold):

- Co-administration of tacrolimus with nelfinavir increased blood concentrations of tacrolimus significantly.

- An open-label, randomized, three-way crossover study in 18 healthy subjects assessed the effect of a single 800 mg oral dose of fluconazole on the pharmacokinetics of a single 1200 mg oral dose of azithromycin as well as the effects of azithromycin on the pharmacokinetics of fluconazole.

- Cyclosporine AUC and Cmax were determined before and after the administration of fluconazole 200 mg daily for 14 days in eight renal transplant patients who had been on cyclosporine therapy for at least 6 months and on a stable cyclosporine dose for at least 6 weeks.
There was no significant difference in ethinyl estradiol or levonorgestrel AUC after the administration of 50 mg of DIFLUCAN.

Co-administration of fosamprenavir/ritonavir with paroxetine significantly decreased plasma levels of paroxetine [Note: in this case there are four active ingredient annotations, fosamprenavir, ritonavir, and paroxetine twice]

Please annotate all mentions of active ingredients in the package insert excerpts, including active ingredients that are not part of interactions. Most of the active ingredients have been automatically pre-annotated; however the automatic process may have missed some mentions. Please add Active ingredient annotations for these missed mentions.

**Metabolite** For this analysis, a metabolite is the chemical product of some enzymatic process on an active ingredient. To annotate a metabolite mentioned in the text of a drug package insert, select the portion of text corresponding to the metabolite and create a Metabolite annotation.

A Metabolite annotation has one slot for storing the active ingredient from which the metabolite was produced. If the active ingredient from which the metabolite was derived is not mentioned in the text, leave the slot empty. Otherwise, to set the active ingredient, click on “Add Instance” (the diamond with the plus, ♦+) in the slot of the Metabolite annotation and select the appropriate Active ingredient annotation from the list. If the desired active ingredient does not appear in the list, first create an annotation for the active ingredient (see previous section), then return to the Metabolite annotation and set the value of its Active Ingredient slot.

Another method that can be used to provide a value for a slot of an annotation is as follows:

1. Make sure that the text representing the value that belongs in a slot has been annotated. For example, in Figure 1, the active ingredient that is the parent of the selected metabolite has been annotated.

2. Within the statement text, select the annotation that you will use to fill the slot. This should trigger a drop down menu that provides an option to use the annotation as a value for one of the slots in the previously selected annotation (see Figure 2).

3. Click on that option to place the value in the appropriate slot.

Example annotations (annotated metabolites in bold, corresponding active ingredients in italics):

- The pharmacokinetics of bupropion and its hydroxy metabolite were unaffected.
• Concentrations of *thioridazine* and its two active metabolites, *mesoridazine* and *sulforidazine*, increased three-fold following co-administration of fluvoxamine.

If the active ingredient for a metabolite is mentioned multiple times in the text, choose the most “logical” mention of the active ingredient as the value of the Active ingredient slot of the Metabolite annotation. For example, in the following passage, select the second mention of bupropion (*bupropion*₂) as the value of the Active ingredient slot of the Metabolite annotation for “hydroxy metabolite” (rather than the first mention of bupropion).

• The effects of concomitant administration of cimetidine on the pharmacokinetics of *bupropion*₁ and its active metabolites were studied in 24 healthy young male volunteers. Following oral administration of two 150-mg Bupropion Hydrochloride Extended-Release Tablets, USP (SR) with and without 800 mg of cimetidine, the pharmacokinetics of *bupropion*₂ and its **hydroxy metabolite** were unaffected.

After clicking on “Add Instance” for a slot, Knowtator will show a list of possible annotations that can fill the slot. In the situation described above, where there are multiple annotations resulting from multiple mentions of the same entity, it is not immediately obvious which annotation in the list corresponds to which mention in the text. To find the mention in the text for a given annotation in the list, hover over the annotation in the list and the mention in the text will be over/underlined. Or one can use the second method to provide a value for a slot described above to avoid this problem.

If the active ingredient is part of the name of the metabolite, as in, for example, *erythrohydro-bupropion*, create an Active ingredient annotation for the active ingredient part of the name (*bupropion*), and select that annotation as the value for the Metabolite annotation that covers the whole name (*erythrohydro-bupropion*).

Please annotate all mentions of metabolites in the package insert samples, including metabolites that are not participants in interactions.

**Drug product** A drug product is a manufactured compound containing one or more active ingredients and marketed under some trade name. For example, “Wellbutrin” is a drug product that contains a single active ingredient with the generic name “bupropion.”

Drug products are annotated by selecting corresponding span in the text and creating an Active ingredient annotation in Knowtator. Drug product annotations do not have any slots.

Analogous to the annotation of active ingredients, only annotate the portion of text that corresponds to the drug product itself – do not include surrounding words and phrases that are not part of the name of the drug product.

Example annotations (annotated drug product in bold):
Available data suggest that there is no significant effect of valproate on the clearance of **Felbatol** at steady-state.

The mean percentage increase in the **Glucotrol** AUC after fluconazole administration was 56.9% (range: 35 to 81%).

Coadministration of phenytoin with a dose bioequivalent to 34mg **SULAR** tablets in epileptic patients lowered the nisoldipine plasma concentrations to undetectable levels.

Please annotate all mentions of drug products in the package insert excerpts, including drug products that are not involved in interactions. Most drug products in the text have been pre-annotated automatically, but be aware that the automatic process may have missed some mentions. Please annotate mentions of drug products that have been missed.

**Annotating Interactions**

For this analysis we define a *drug-drug interaction* as any alteration of the disposition and/or effect of an active ingredient or metabolite, owing to the presence of another active ingredient or metabolite. The components of an interaction include a *precipitant* and an *object*:

- **precipitant** – the active ingredient or metabolite that effects an interaction.
- **object** – the active ingredient or metabolite that is altered by the interaction.

Several pieces of information are recorded as part of the annotation of a drug-drug interaction:

- The participants in the interaction: precipitant and object.
- The modality of the interaction: whether the occurrence of the interaction is affirmed (e.g., *fluconazole reduced the clearance of IV midazolam by 51%*) or negated (e.g., *there was no significant pharmacokinetic interaction between fluconazole and azithromycin*).
- The “textual evidence” for the interaction, referred to as “interaction phrase”: words or phrases that are used in the text to describe interactions, e.g., *reduced the clearance of IV midazolam by 51%, the AUC and Cmax of glyburide (5 mg single dose) were significantly increased*.

The next section defines more specifically the types of statements that qualify as drug-drug interaction statements. Then follows instruction on how to annotate such statements using Knowtator.

**Definition of an Interaction Statement**

A *drug interaction statement* is defined as a sentence or phrase published in an FDA drug package insert that describes an affirmed or negated pharmacokinetic
drug-drug interaction which reports the effect on absorption, distribution, metabolism, or elimination of one drug or its metabolite(s) on another drug or metabolite(s). An affirmed interaction states that an interaction occurs between two drugs while a negated interaction states that there is no interaction between two drugs.

As you are annotating package insert sections, you will come across two types of statements:

- **Interaction statements** - defined as statements that describe an affirmed or negated interaction between two drugs.

- **Non-interaction statements** - defined as statements that mention two different drugs but do not describe an affirmed or negated interaction between the two drugs.

Notice that both types of statements include mention of two or more drugs, but only the interaction statement describes an affirmed or negated pharmacokinetic drug-drug interaction.

Interaction statements are divided into two subtypes: *quantitative* and *qualitative* statements. *Quantitative interaction statements* contain quantitative data regarding the affirmed or negated interaction, dosing information, or other clinical study parameters such as study length and number of participants in the study. *Qualitative interaction statements* come in two styles. The first is a statement in which an affirmed or negated pharmacokinetic drug-drug interaction is reported but *no quantitative data* is given. The second kind of qualitative statement is a statement that *predicts* an affirmed or negated pharmacokinetic drug-drug interaction without giving any data. There are no subtypes of *non-interaction statements*.

Thus, the three types of interaction statements are *Quantitative interaction*, *Qualitative interaction*, and *Non-interaction* statements. Examples of each type of interaction statement are below:

**Interaction examples**

(Quantitative)

In the following examples, quantitative data is given regarding a change in both the Cmax and AUC of the object drug while also including dosing information.

- Similarly, following administration of 1 gram of erythromycin ethyl succinate and 200 mg itraconazole as single doses, the mean Cmax and AUC0-∞ of itraconazole increased by 44% (90% CI: 119% to 175%) and 36% (90% CI: 108% to 171%), respectively.

- In a study in healthy volunteers, coadministration of buspirone (30 mg as a single dose) with rifampin (600 mg/day for 5 days) decreased the plasma
concentrations (83.7% decrease in Cmax; 89.6% decrease in AUC) and pharmacodynamic effects of buspirone.

In the next set of examples, quantitative data is given with little or no reference to study parameters.

- Coadministration of buspirone with cimetidine was found to increase Cmax (40%) and Tmax (2-fold), but had minimal effects on the AUC of buspirone.
- Grapefruit juice given to healthy volunteers increased amiodarone AUC by 50% and Cmax by 84%, and decreased DEA to unquantifiable concentrations.
- Amiodarone taken concomitantly with digoxin increases the serum digoxin concentration by 70% after one day.
- Since the concomitant administration of warfarin with amiodarone increases the prothrombin time by 100% after 3 to 4 days, the dose of the anticoagulant should be reduced by one-third to one-half, and prothrombin times should be monitored closely.

The next set of examples contain quantitative data on both the effect of a drug on another drug and the clinical trial from which the data comes; including dosing information, study participants, and study length.

- When zidovudine (100 mg q3h ×5) was coadministered with daily azithromycin (600 mg, n=5 or 1200 mg, n=7), mean Cmax, AUC and Clr increased by 26% (CV 54%), 10% (CV 26%) and 38% (CV 114%), respectively.
- In a placebo-controlled study, saquinavir administered as a 1200 mg dose, tid, for 5 days (n=12), a 56% reduction in the clearance of midazolam following a single 0.05 mg/kg IV dose was observed.
- In a study of 11 women with bipolar disorder receiving lithium carbonate at a dosage of 600 mg to 1200 mg/day, administration of 100 mg flurbiprofen every 12 hours increased plasma lithium concentrations by 19%.
- Following co-administration of tacrolimus and sirolimus (2 or 5 mg/day) in stable renal transplant patients, mean tacrolimus AUC0-12 and Cmin decreased approximately by 30% relative to tacrolimus alone.

The following (final) example is more complex. While this interaction statement reports that there is no effect on pharmacokinetics, this statement is still considered to be quantitative because it describes a negated interaction and includes clinical study information (dosing, length, subjects).

- Administration of a 600 mg single oral dose of azithromycin had no effect on the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz given at 400 mg doses for 7 days to healthy adult subjects.
(Qualitative)

The following are examples of the first type of qualitative interaction statements. Each statement reports on an interaction but does not give any quantitative data.

- Fluconazole increases the serum concentrations of theophylline.
- Cyclosporine significantly increased rosuvastatin exposure.
- The combination of lopinavir and ritonavir significantly increased rosuvastatin exposure.
- Concomitant administration of itraconazole and cyclosporine or tacrolimus has led to increased plasma concentrations of these immunosuppressants.
- Aluminum- and magnesium-containing antacids reduce the peak serum levels (rate) but not the AUC (extent) of azithromycin (500 mg) absorption.

The next set of examples display the second type of qualitative interaction statements. Each of the statements predict that an interaction may occur, but do not give any quantitative data to support.

- Erythromycin and clarithromycin (and possibly other macrolide antibiotics) and tetracycline may increase digoxin absorption.
- Calcium, particularly if administered rapidly by the intravenous route, may produce serious arrhythmias in digitalized patients.
- Rifampin may decrease serum digoxin concentration, especially in patients with renal dysfunction, by increasing the non-renal clearance of digoxin.
- Inducers of CYP3A4 may decrease the plasma concentrations of itraconazole.
- Potentiation of warfarin-type (CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 substrate) anticoagulant response is almost always seen in patients receiving amiodarone and can result in serious or fatal bleeding.
- Inhibitors of CYP3A4 such as erythromycin, clarithromycin, ketoconazole, itraconazole, ritonavir and grapefruit juice may increase plasma concentrations of estrogens and may result in side effects.

These last examples show statements that imply interactions, but do not give quantitative data.

- Cisapride, oral midazolam, nisoldipine, pimozide, quinidine, dofetilide, triazolam and levacetylmethadol (levomethadyl) are contraindicated with itraconazole.
• Ergot alkaloids metabolized by CYP3A4 such as dihydroergotamine, ergometrine (ergonovine), ergotamine and methylergometrine (methylergonovine) are contraindicated with itraconazole.

• Caution is advised when midazolam is administered concomitantly with drugs that are known to inhibit the P450-3A4 enzyme system such as cimetidine (not ranitidine), erythromycin, diltiazem, verapamil, ketoconazole and itraconazole.

• Coadministration of cisapride is contraindicated in patients receiving fluconazole.

Non-interaction examples

The first set of examples for non-interaction statements all include two or more drugs, but do not describe an affirmed or negated pharmacokinetic drug-interaction.

• An increased risk of congenital malformations is associated with the use of diazepam and chlordiazepoxide during the first trimester of pregnancy has been suggested in several studies.

• Erythromycin and clarithromycin are substrates and inhibitors of the 3A isoform subfamily of the cytochrome P450 enzyme system (CYP3A).

• The use of live vaccines should be avoided; live vaccines may include, but are not limited to measles, mumps, rubella, oral polio, BCG, yellow fever, and TY 21a typhoid.

• These include, but are not limited to, aminoglycosides, amphotericin B, and cisplatin.

• Midazolam HCl syrup is a benzodiazepine and is a Schedule IV controlled substance that can produce drug dependence of the diazepam-type.

• CRESTOR was compared with the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin in a multicenter, open-label, dose-ranging study of 2,240 patients with hyperlipidemia or mixed dyslipidemia.

• Although clinical studies have shown that CRESTOR alone does not reduce basal plasma cortisol concentration or impair adrenal reserve, caution should be exercised if CRESTOR is administered concomitantly with drugs that may decrease the levels or activity of endogenous steroid hormones such as ketoconazole, spironolactone, and cimetidine.

• Carbamazepine, phenobarbital, and phenytoin are all inducers of CYP3A4.
• Edema has been reported in patients concomitantly receiving SPORANOX® and dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers.

• Controlled studies in animals and man have shown no stimulation of any pituitary hormone by ranitidine and no antiandrogenic activity, and cimetidine-induced gynecomastia and impotence in hypersecretory patients have resolved when ranitidine has been substituted.

• It appears possible, although there is no supporting experimental evidence, that the high renal clearance of desglymidodrine (a base) is due to active tubular secretion by the base-secreting system also responsible for the secretion of such drugs as metformin, cimetidine, ranitidine, procainamide, triamterene, flecainide and quinidine.

These next examples display statements that include quantitative data, but do not describe an affirmed or negated interaction, and hence are non-interaction statements.

• Two randomized, controlled studies for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis have been conducted (total n=344). In one trial, clinical response to either 7 or 14 days of itraconazole oral solution, 200 mg/day, was similar to fluconazole tablets and averaged 84% across all arms.

• In Study 174, more subjects discontinued from the combination of azithromycin and rifabutin (22.7%) than from azithromycin alone (13.5%; p=0.026) or rifabutin alone (15.9%; p=0.209).

**How to annotate interaction phrases**

A quantitative or qualitative interaction phrase is annotated by selecting the desired words in the text and creating an annotation of type Interaction phrase. Interaction phrase annotations will have one slot for distinguishing between quantitative and qualitative statements. A value is chosen by clicking on “Add Value” (the square with the plus, △+) above the slot, and selecting the appropriate value from the list.

In the ideal case, the annotated interaction phrase should not include the precipitant and object of the drug-drug interaction – the participants of an interaction are recorded separately as slots of an Interaction annotation (see the next section for more details). However, most sentences discussing drug-drug interactions are structured in such a way that it is difficult to exclude both the precipitant and the object from the interaction phrase. For example, the following sentence describes an interaction between the two active ingredients cimetidine and tacrine.
- Cimetidine increased the Cmax and AUC of tacrine by approximately 54% and 64%, respectively.

The textual evidence for the interaction in this sentence is the mention of the increase in the Cmax and AUC by about 54% and 64%. In order to create a annotation with a continuous span, the appropriate interaction phrase, *increased the Cmax and AUC of tacrine by approximately 54% and 64%*, will contain the object of the interaction.

Linguistic signals, such as “respectively”, can be excluded from Interaction phrase annotations, unless this prevents the creation of a continuous annotation.

Example annotations (annotated interaction phrases in bold):

- Concomitant administration of cimetidine and venlafaxine in a steady-state study for both drugs *resulted in inhibition of first-pass metabolism* of venlafaxine in 18 healthy subjects.

- Coadministration of tacrine with theophylline *increased theophylline elimination half-life and average plasma theophylline concentrations by approximately 2-fold*.

- In a study of 13 healthy, male volunteers, a single 40 mg dose of tacrine added to fluvoxamine 100 mg/day administered at steady-state *was associated with five- and eight-fold increases in tacrine Cmax and AUC*, respectively, compared to the administration of tacrine alone.

- In healthy volunteers, co-administration with gemfibrozil (600 mg twice daily for 3 days) *resulted in an 8.1-fold (range 5.5- to 15.0-fold) higher repaglinide AUC and a 28.6-fold (range 18.5- to 80.1-fold) higher repaglinide plasma concentration 7 hours after the dose*.

- *The AUC and Cmax of glyburide (5 mg single dose) were significantly increased* following the administration of fluconazole in 20 normal male volunteers.

- Following oral administration of two 150-mg Bupropion Hydrochloride Extended-Release Tablets, USP (SR) with and without 800 mg of cimetidine, the pharmacokinetics of bupropion and its hydroxy metabolite *were unaffected*.

- *There was no significant pharmacokinetic interaction* between fluconazole and azithromycin.

Please annotate all quantitative or quantitative interaction phrases in the package insert samples. Interactions that are not explicitly asserted (or negated) but whose presence (or absence) can be inferred from a sentence should not be annotated. For example, the sentence, *fluvoxamine and thioridazine should not*
be co-administered, does not count as a drug-drug interaction for the purposes of this annotation task.

Also, mere descriptions of studies do not justify the annotation of an interaction phrase. For example, the sentence below does not contain any information about the presence or absence of an interaction between the active ingredients mentioned; thus, no interaction phrase should be created for this sentence.

- An open-label, randomized, three-way crossover study in 18 healthy subjects assessed the effect of a single 800 mg oral dose of fluconazole on the pharmacokinetics of a single 1200 mg oral dose of azithromycin as well as the effects of azithromycin on the pharmacokinetics of fluconazole.

Elaborations of observed effects that do not mention the precipitant and object of an interaction should not be annotated as an interaction phrase. Thus, in the following statement only the first sentence contains an interaction phrase that needs to be annotated.

- The AUC and Cmax of glyburide (5 mg single dose) were significantly increased following the administration of fluconazole in 20 normal male volunteers. There was a mean ± SD increase in AUC of 44% ± 29% (range: 13 to 115%) and Cmax increased 19% ± 19% (range: 23 to 62%).

**How to annotate Interaction entities**

While active ingredients, metabolites, drug products, and interaction phrases are all entities, an Interaction is a relationship between entities. Therefore, from an annotation point of view, unlike the entities discussed above, an interaction annotation is not directly associated with a text span. To annotate an interaction, create an Interaction annotation from the left pane of the Protégé window without first selecting a portion of text. Next, fill in the slots of the Interaction annotation in the right pane of the Protégé window. Each Interaction annotation has four slots, all of which require a value: Precipitant, Object, Modality, and Interaction phrase. These slots are discussed below.

The Precipitant and Object slots of an Interaction annotation refer to the participants of the drug-drug interaction that is annotated. The values of precipitant and object are existing Active ingredient annotations, Metabolite annotations, or Drug product annotations. Both the Precipitant slot and the Object slot can have one and only one annotation as their value. To set the value of one of these slots, click on “Add Instance” (the diamond with the plus, ♦+) above the slot and select the desired annotation from the list. If the desired annotation is not in the list, first create a new annotation of type Active ingredient, Metabolite, or Drug product following the instructions in the previous sections. Then come back to the Interaction annotation and set the value of the Precipitant or Object slot.
In the situation where the text discusses an interaction and the precipitant or object is mentioned (and therefore annotated) multiple times in the text, choose that annotation that is most logically connected with interaction phrase as the value for the Precipitant or Object slot of the Interaction annotation. For example, consider the following statement, the last sentence of which asserts an interaction between fluconazole (precipitant) and midazolam (object).

- The effect of fluconazole on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of midazolam was examined in a randomized, cross-over study in 12 volunteers. In the study, subjects ingested placebo or 400 mg fluconazole on Day 1 followed by 200 mg daily from Day 2 to Day 6. In addition, a 7.5 mg dose of midazolam was orally ingested on the first day, 0.05 mg/kg was administered intravenously on the fourth day, and 7.5 mg orally on the sixth day. Fluconazole reduced the clearance of IV midazolam by 51%.

The statement contains multiple mentions of the active ingredients fluconazole and midazolam (in italics), resulting in three annotations for each active ingredient. Since the relevant interaction phrase is “reduced the clearance of IV midazolam by 51%”, select the annotations that are related to this interaction phrase, i.e., the annotations for the mentions Fluconazole and midazolam, as the values for the Precipitant and Object slots.

If a sentence contains a phrase such as coadministration of ... with ... or concomitant administration of ... and ..., select the two entities mentioned in this phrase as the precipitant and object of the interaction. Contrast the following two examples:

- Oral conivaptan hydrochloride 40 mg twice daily resulted in a 2-fold increase in the AUC and half-life of amlodipine.

- Concomitant administration of cimetidine and venlafaxine in a steady-state study for both drugs resulted in inhibition of first-pass metabolism of venlafaxine in 18 healthy subjects.

There are two mentions of venlafaxine in the second example sentence; the first mention in this sentence is the object as far as the annotation of the interaction is concerned.

After clicking on “Add Instance” for a slot, Knowtator will show a list of possible annotations that can fill the slot. In the situation described above, where there are multiple annotations resulting from multiple mentions of the same entity, it is not immediately obvious which annotation in the list corresponds to which mention in the text. To find the mention in the text for a given annotation in the list, hover over the annotation in the list and the mention in the text will be over/underlined.

The Modality slot of an Interaction annotation is used to capture whether an interaction described in the text is affirmed or negated. A sentence stating that an interaction does in fact occur, e.g., the AUC and Cmax of glyburide (5 mg single dose) were significantly increased following the administration of fluconazole in
20 normal male volunteers, corresponds to an affirmed interaction; a sentence explicitly stating that an interaction does not occur, e.g., *co-administration of metoclopramide with the long-acting propranolol did not have a significant effect on propranolol's pharmacokinetics*, corresponds to a negated interaction.

The two possible values for the Modality slot are Positive, for affirmed interactions, and Negative, for negated interactions. A value is chosen by clicking on “Add Value” (the square with the plus, +) above the slot, and selecting the appropriate value from the list.

The third and last slot of an Interaction annotation holds the interaction phrase for the interaction in the text being annotated. As explained above, an interaction phrase refers to the phrase that is used in the text to describe occurrence or non-occurrence of an interaction. Interaction phrases are annotated as independent entities (see the previous section) and associated with an Interaction annotation through the latter’s Interaction Phrase Slot.

To select a value for the Interaction Phrase slot of an Interaction annotation, click on “Add Instance” (the diamond with the plus, +) above the slot and pick an Interaction phrase annotation from the list. If the desired annotation is not in the list, first create the proper Interaction phrase annotation following the instructions in the previous section. Then come back to the Interaction annotation and select the right value for the Interaction Phrase slot.

Please annotate all mentions of interactions in the package insert excerpts. If the same interaction is described twice in a statement, create two Interaction annotations, one for each description. Note that all four slots of an Interaction annotation must be assigned a value: there are no interactions without precipitants, objects, modality, or interaction phrases.

Example annotations (precipitants in italic with subscript \(p\), objects in italic with subscript \(o\), interaction phrases in bold, modality indicated following sentence):

- **The AUC and Cmax of glyburide\(_o\) (5 mg single dose) were significantly increased** following the administration of fluconazole\(_p\) in 20 normal male volunteers. [Modality: Positive]

- There was **no significant pharmacokinetic interaction** between fluconazole\(_p\) and azithromycin\(_o\). [Modality: Negative]

- Coadministration of tacrine\(_p\) with theophylline\(_o\) **increased theophylline elimination half-life and average plasma theophylline concentrations by approximately 2-fold**. [Modality: positive]

- In a study of 13 healthy, male volunteers, a single 40 mg dose of tacrine\(_o\) added to fluvoxamine\(_p\) 100 mg/day administered at steady-state **was associated with five- and eight-fold increases in tacrine Cmax and AUC**, respectively, compared to the administration of tacrine alone. [Modality: Positive]
In healthy volunteers, co-administration with gemfibrozil (600 mg twice daily for 3 days) resulted in an 8.1-fold (range 5.5- to 15.0-fold) higher repaglinide AUC and a 28.6-fold (range 18.5- to 80.1-fold) higher repaglinide plasma concentration 7 hours after the dose. [Modality: Positive]

Note that the sentence from the last example contains two mentions of repaglinide. As a heuristic, pick the last one as the object of the interaction in situations like these.

An example of a sentence containing two interactions:

- In this placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized, two-way crossover study carried out over three cycles of oral contraceptive treatment, fluconazole dosing resulted in small increases in the mean AUCs of ethinyl estradiol and norethindrone compared to similar placebo dosing.

The two Interaction annotations for the sentence above are:

1. Precipitant: fluconazole; Object: ethinyl estradiol; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: resulted in small increases in the mean AUCs
2. Precipitant: fluconazole; Object: norethindrone; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: resulted in small increases in the mean AUCs

A more complex example:

- Concomitant administration of cimetidine and venlafaxine in a steady-state study for both drugs resulted in inhibition of first-pass metabolism of venlafaxine in 18 healthy subjects. The oral clearance of venlafaxine was reduced by about 43%, and the exposure (AUC) and maximum concentration (Cmax) of the drug were increased by about 60%.

The statement above describes the same interaction twice. Both mentions should be annotated:

1. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: venlafaxine; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: resulted in inhibition of first-pass metabolism
2. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: venlafaxine; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: The oral clearance of venlafaxine was reduced by about 43%, and the exposure (AUC) and maximum concentration (Cmax) of the drug were increased by about 60%

Another complex example:

- Following oral administration of two 150-mg Bupropion Hydrochloride Extended-Release Tablets, USP (SR) with and without 800 mg of cimetidine,
the pharmacokinetics of bupropion and its hydroxy metabolite were 
unaffected. However, there were 16% and 32% increases, 
respectively, in the AUC and Cmax of the combined moieties of 
threohydro- and erythrohydro-bupropion.

The sentences above describes four interactions, annotated as follows:

1. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: bupropion; Modality: Negative; Interaction phrase: unaffected

2. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: hydroxy metabolite; Modality: Negative; Interaction phrase: unaffected

3. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: threohydro-; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: 16% and 32% increases, respectively, in the AUC and Cmax

4. Precipitant: cimetidine; Object: erythrohydro-bupropion; Modality: Positive; Interaction phrase: 16% and 32% increases, respectively, in the AUC and Cmax